Self-Evident Dialectic – Why the Dialectic is a Simple Process of Obviousness

By Shomit Sirohi

Introduction

By Fredric Jameson

In all the Paul letters, Quran Prophecy, Jewish victory on Automaton to help us – the argument is then Sartre and praxis-forms – Awaaz as contemplated – the joke is on the curator, how he organizes a perfect event but is rejected by CPSU to be met – it is simply to re-check the checklist with a long future – l'avenir dure long tempes. When in fact Spirit is walking among us and is in fact Spirit – that spirit which keeps going on, keeps running, keeps

flirting, and keeps persisting with the dialectic – what Adorno calls cultural criticism these days – that the hall booked for his event is then cancelled, and he is left out of the process, which one day Miraculously is confirmed by the logic of cultural criticism, there is a famous Prophet expected after all his plans transpire and become a university-Napoleonic, and it is just a day at work – cultural criticism in Sirohi, is full of forcing, jazz intricacies, the moment studied as in the meeting planned – importance, bizzarre and then of course pure jazz he means – it becomes like jazz to meet – self-improvising, self-correcting, correct check-list here – and then confirmed – like a flight ticket one buys to go for symphony.

I. The Problem becomes its Own Solution

Imagine a person walking to meet a comrade, and let’s say it is charged with an impossibility, deadlock, and even crisis, that in fact meeting is hard and difficult, charged with a lot of crisis, uncertainity even a major failure predicted.

Here the problem turns into its own solution – let us then force into the process more – like a speeding car and getting off, and getting into the hotel and searching for the person, the comrade.

II. Detour as Joke

So in fact the process was perfect, the militant was forseeing the meeting, but the comrade quit the occasion.

This is the dialectical non-sequitor of the meeting, that the in-itself which is becoming for-itself in a standard Substance becoming Subject at the point of failure, becomes a twisting and turning, even a complete transformation of the rules of the game, for an Event, which was passed off as a common failure.

Is it not that Polish Solidarity was about to win the Polish Elections at the finale of Soviet Union defeats in the 1990s, when in fact they just quit the election

campaign and started studying and listening to music instead.

III. How then one can Predict in Self-Evidence

The stupid process of simply following the rule then of an axiom, becomes twists and turns of rejections, lover amourous its too much, means too much, and even crises produced by irrelevant arguments and so the perfect dialectic would be to be sure about the Other person – which one adds one just knows – how one knows is a mystery in Judaism – we know by automaton.

Imagine the whole process depicted before your eyes and developed like a film even – and the cliffhanger of the ending of

meeting is self-evidence – that he confirmed it.

Now dialectics is smarter – it is not dependent on the Other – that thing Freud called dependence – like a dependence of a brother to another brother – but in fact dialectics is when it takes into account the Other – it can tell beforehand – how self-evidence works – like a bunch of pamphlets, Paulinian letters, everything correctly checked – like a checklist – except for the praxis aspect usually – the undialectical list – actually it is all listed and made simple – thesis we meet, anti-thesis we do not and synthesis we attempt to.

As the years go by Klotchin the clerk at Moscow University in

1988 produced a perfect answer – it has to be confirmed by being fidelity he added and made a list of checklist with dialectics as in fact listed instead – first we rehearse the meeting, then we plan it, then we reject it, at some point we all accept it (anti-thesis becoming synthesis somehow, the Miracle of the Event) which is all about fidelity he meant.

He made up a whole history of meetings between Lenin and Trotsky, Trotsky and CPSU, even Sirohi recently and Fidel or Che, and argued that's fidelity – the process of persisting in love – not all women accept the same man, only the man who is truly in fidelity.

Christian, Paulinian Letters	Thesis it works, anti-thesis it does not, synthesis – attempts	The previous thesis becoming an anti-thesis – which means all planned, all ready, and Paulinian to receive you – Incontinent and happy.
Islamic Letters in Averroes	Thesis it is predicted, anti-thesis that is not	Anti-thesis – a beautiful Meccan Messianic

	happening, and synthesis – it’s a joke of a problem	Prophetic hello to the people, walking in poverty and politics, ready for Awaaz and meeting all
Jewish letters	Thesis – jett-zeit and anti-thesis spiritual Hebrew truths, and synthesis –	Anti-thesis A Jewish heritage all together with the young Prophet and women

	cliffhanger of a decision	talking photographs perhaps
Praxis-forms	Thesis – praxis forms, anti-thesis, fused groups, synthesis – problem of individual mediations	Praxis as in fact fusing around the individual who is dictated to by FLN – as if it were KNS and a abuse to make it
Offices	Thesis – office work and	

	paper work Anti-thesis – forming a crisis of work Synthesis – working on predicting a cultural obstinanc y	
Deterior Forms and Event Curation	Thesis – well planned, anti-thesis – failure of the	Anti-thesis – in fact everyone becomes escorted in plans to

	plan Synthesis – learning in the process to perfect it	meet and it works out

All of this means history is a bit of cultural criticism on meeting – you see the process of going to meet was then failing, and this failure repeated why, as Chris Gardner as in the Pursuit of Happyness in his studies for IBM selection – Bill Gates answers, because you were gifted to meet later which you radically disagreed with.

It simply means persist and flirt with a girl and she will be yours – not simply any man with any girl, but as such.